5

REMARKS

Paragraphs 2 and 10 of the Office Action

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Lauby. Claims 1 and 10 are rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Staufer.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Staley.

Claims 1, 2 and 5are rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Weber.

Claims 1, 2 and 5are rejected under 35 USC §102(b) as being anticipated by Schiro Jr. et al. Claims 3-5 are rejected under 35 USC §103(a) as being unpatentable over Staley.

Claims 6, 7, 9, 11 and 12 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 6-9 and 11-12 have been cancelled.

Claim 1 has been amended and now includes the limitations of as filed claims 8 and 9. Based on the Examiner's comments, it is believed that claim 1 is now in condition for allowance. Claims 2-5 and 10, which each ultimately depend from claim 1, are also believed to be in condition for allowance.

Paragraph 11 of the Office Action

Claim 12 is allowed.

25

15

20

Date: 12/12/09

5

CONCLUSION

In light of the foregoing amendments and remarks, early consideration and allowance of this application are most courteously solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

15

Sean A. Kaufhold (Reg. No. 46,820) P.O. Box 131447 Carlsbad, CA 92013

(760) 470-3368 FAX (760) 736-8449

20